

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications
and other research outputs

Plant sensitivity to low intensity 105 GHz electromagnetic radiation

Journal Item

How to cite:

Tafforeau, Marc; Verdus, Marie-Claire; Norris, Vic; White, Glenn J.; Cole, Mike; Demarty, Maurice; Thellier, Michel and Ripoll, Camille (2004). Plant sensitivity to low intensity 105 GHz electromagnetic radiation. *Bioelectromagnetics*, 25(6) pp. 403–407.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2004 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Version: Proof

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1002/bem.10205>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

Plant Sensitivity to Low Intensity 105 GHz Electromagnetic Radiation

Marc Tafforeau,¹ Marie-Claire Verdus,¹ Vic Norris,¹ Glenn J. White,² Mike Cole,² Maurice Demarty,¹ Michel Thellier,¹ and Camille Ripoll^{1*}

¹Laboratoire des Processus Intégratifs Cellulaires, Université de Rouen, France

²School of Physical Sciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom

Exposing seedlings of the flax, *Linum usitatissimum* L., to a variety of weak environmental stresses followed by a 2 day calcium deprivation, triggers the common response of production of epidermal meristems (actively dividing groups of cells) in the hypocotyl, which is the part of the stem between the root and the cotyledons (the pre-existing leaves in the embryo). This production reaches a plateau of 10–20 meristems after a month in the case of mechanical stimulation and cold shock. Recently, we have shown that radiation from a global system for mobile communication (GSM) telephone also triggers production of meristems with a plateau of around six meristems. Here, we show that a single 2 h exposure to radiation emitted at 105 GHz at non-thermal levels by a Gunn oscillator induces meristem production with kinetics similar to that induced by weak environmental stimuli and radiation from GSM telephone. Bioelectromagnetics 00:1–5, 2003. © 2003 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Key words: environmental stress; microwaves; flax; meristem; calcium

INTRODUCTION

Plants are exposed to a variety of natural abiotic and biotic stresses, such as drought, rain, thermal stresses, wind, mechanical contact, pricking by insects, wounds inflicted by phytophages, and infection by pathogens. These stresses generate intracellular signals [Braam and Davis, 1990; Braam et al., 1996; Jonak et al., 1996; Mizoguchi et al., 1997; Meskiene and Hirt, 2000], such as calcium transients [Knight et al., 1991, 1992, 1996; Takahashi et al., 1992; Malhó et al., 1998; Plieth et al., 1998; Mithöfer et al., 1999], that lead to modifications of growth rate and/or morphogenesis of the whole plant [Adams, 1924; Jaffe, 1985; Thellier et al., 2000].

Our group has developed an experimental system that clearly reveals the effects of environmental stimuli [Verdus et al., 1996, 1997]. In this system, week-old seedlings of the flax *Linum usitatissimum* L. var Ariane are subjected to a temporary (typically 2 days) calcium deprivation by replacing the complete growth medium with a calcium-depleted medium. After this depletion the plants are again grown on the complete medium. This results in the production of epidermal meristems in the hypocotyls, typically 10–20 meristems after a month, provided the seedlings have previously undergone an exposure to different stresses or stimuli, such as drought or touch, respectively. In plants, meristems are small groups of actively dividing cells that after differentiation ultimately produce stem or root tissues.

The hypocotyl is the part of the stem located between the root and the cotyledons (pre-existing leaves in the embryo). In control experiments designed to minimize these stresses, the mean number of meristems produced per plant is 0 or 1 after subjecting the plants to calcium deprivation.

Recent experiments have shown that exposure to radiation emitted from a global system for mobile communication (GSM) telephone followed by calcium deprivation, leads to meristem production in our flax system [Tafforeau et al., 2002]. In their natural environment, plants are also exposed to radiation at a large range of other frequencies. Electromagnetic radiation in the 100 GHz range is already widely used in a variety of communications systems and environmental sensors, such as telecommunications links and earth resources

Grant sponsor: Ministère de la Recherche, France (fellowship to M.T.).

*Correspondence to: Camille Ripoll, Laboratoire des Processus Intégratifs Cellulaires, UMR CNRS 6037, Université de Rouen, 76821 Mont Saint Aignan Cedex, France.
E-mail: Camille.Ripoll@univ-rouen.fr

Received for review 11 February 2003; Final revision received 9 October 2003

DOI 10.1002/bem.10205

Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

monitoring applications; and it is therefore important to explore the effects of exposure of biological systems to radiation at these frequencies. To investigate the possibility that plants may be affected by exposure to a frequency in this range, we used the sensitive experimental system described above. We report below how irradiation of flax seedlings with a Gunn oscillator at 105 GHz induced meristem production comparable to that induced in seedlings subjected to mechanical stimulation, cold shock, and other environmental stresses or stimuli [Verdus et al., 1997; Tafforeau et al., 2002].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant Growth and Calcium Deprivation

Plants were grown in a culture room at $22 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ under continuous artificial light (6.4 W/m^2 irradiance), except for a 3 day period of germination in the dark. The culture medium, slightly modified from the standard Homès medium, contained the macronutrients (mM) KNO_3 6.92; $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 2.33; $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.62; and $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 2.18 and the micronutrients (μM) $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 6.86; $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.0; $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.04; $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.03; $\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{EDTA}$ 51.0; and H_3BO_3 27.33. Each batch of approximately 150 flax seeds was grown in its own culture box. Polystyrene culture boxes (Sercobox, Polylabo) and polypropylene meshes (Scrynel, Polylabo) were used.

Two simultaneous replicates in each of two sequential independent experiments were carried out. Seeds were placed on polypropylene meshes fitted on the top of each box, and the nutrient medium was brought into contact with them (days 1 and 2) to allow germination. The seedlings were then left to grow for a further 3 days in situ. On day 6, separate batches of seedlings were exposed to a 2 h irradiation using a Gunn oscillator (see below).

After each irradiation, several batches were subjected to a temporary calcium deprivation to induce the formation of meristems. To perform the temporary calcium depletion, the complete medium was substituted during days 7 and 8 by a calcium-deprived medium. The seedlings were then left to grow on the complete medium until day 29. In the calcium-deprived medium, calcium was replaced by potassium, and the concentrations of nitrate, sulfate, and phosphate were kept to the same value as in the complete medium. The variations in the total osmolarity and ionic strength were thus negligible. The medium was renewed every 2 days throughout the experiment.

To count meristems, 10 seedlings were taken at random from each of the batches (on days 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 29) and immediately plunged in




Fig. 1. Optical view of a newly developed meristem in the epidermis of the flax hypocotyl. A meristem (M) is clearly distinguished from the normal epidermal cells (EC) or from the stomata (S). Bar = 30 μm .

50% (v/v) aqueous ethanol at room temperature for 24 h or longer, until they became transparent enough to allow counting of the epidermal meristems using a Leica light microscope (model DMRB). Only meristems comprising at least four newly divided cells were counted (Fig. 1).

Plant Irradiation

All culture boxes (control and experimental) were placed in the same culture room. For irradiation (on day 6) the corresponding boxes were carefully carried the 10 m distance to another culture room, where the temperature and light intensity were the same, where the Gunn oscillator was located; the radiation from the oscillator reaching the first room was thus negligible. After the 2 h irradiation (see details below), the culture boxes were carefully taken back to the first culture room. We checked in four replicates that carrying the boxes from one room to the other did not cause any significant production of meristem after calcium deprivation in the absence of irradiation (data not shown). Other slight disturbances to the seedlings, such as those induced by the sampling and the renewal of the growth medium, were also without effect.

Seedling irradiation was carried out at 105 GHz for 2 h using a 50 mW Gunn oscillator mounted above them (Fig. 2). The output power was monitored using a Golay cell millimeter wave detector. A Golay cell is a sensitive room temperature detector that operates between wavelengths of ~ 3 and 0.1 mm. It is comprised of a gas chamber and a parallel planar capacitor consisting of a thin diaphragm. Millimeter wave radiation heats the gas in the chamber, and the deflection of the diaphragm induced by the expansion of the gas is sensed by a photo-optic sensor, such that the voltage output is proportional to the power detected. The detection area




Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the experimental apparatus used for the irradiation of flax seedlings. For clarity only three seedlings are represented here; in the actual experiments, approximately 150 seedlings were grown on the polypropylene mesh.

of the Golay cell is 1.22 cm^2 . The output angle of the 105 GHz beam from the Gunn oscillator was 12° , leading to an estimated mean power density of the order of 10 W/m^2 at the base of the hypocotyls. The absolute power level of 105 GHz radiation incident at the sample was calibrated against an absolute power meter which has an accuracy of $\pm 10\%$ (<http://josephson.terahertz.co.uk/TKI/tkins.html>). This power meter was placed at the same distance from the end of the Gunn oscillator feed horn as the sample (25 cm). The power distribution across the area occupied by the sample was measured using the Golay detector and found to vary by less than $\pm 25\%$ from the center to the periphery of the irradiated area with no other hot spots discernable.

RESULTS

Seedlings that, on day 6, were exposed to radiation from the Gunn oscillator produced an average of seven meristems 3 weeks (day 29) after the end of the calcium deprivation (Fig. 3). The controls performed were: (1) irradiation without the calcium deprivation step, (2) no irradiation but inclusion of the calcium deprivation step, and (3) no irradiation and no calcium deprivation. Controls 1 (not shown) and 2 (Fig. 3) gave an average of less than 0.7 meristems on day 29, while control 3 gave none (data not shown). There is no appreciable dif-




Fig. 3. Mean number of meristems per hypocotyl as a function of time. A total of 1144 seedlings were analyzed. Each point is the mean of 10 measurements. Each separate experiment is represented by a different symbol. The lines around these points are arbitrarily drawn for visual help to show the difference between irradiated and control seedlings. Irradiation by the Gunn oscillator, open symbols; controls (no irradiation but calcium deprivation), filled symbols.

ference between the results of giving the same treatment in separate experiments. By contrast there is a clear-cut difference between the results of a 2 h irradiation and the controls, as shown Figure 3.

A microwave dissipates as heat a fraction $(1 - e^{-d/\delta})$ of its energy when it penetrates to a depth d into a material. δ is a characteristic length, the penetration depth, given approximately by the expression $\delta = c(\epsilon'_r)^{1/2} / (2\pi\nu\epsilon''_r)$ where c is the speed of light in the vacuum, ν the frequency of the wave, ϵ'_r the real part of the complex relative permittivity of the material, and ϵ''_r its imaginary part corresponding to the dielectric loss factor (see, e.g., <http://www.sbu.ac.uk/water/microwave.html>). For a wave at 105 GHz, $\delta = 0.45 (\epsilon'_r)^{1/2} / (\epsilon''_r)$ mm. For pure water or moderately concentrated salt solutions at 20°C , $\epsilon'_r = 8$ and $\epsilon''_r = 12$ (e.g., www.sbu.ac.uk/water/microwave.html), and hence $\delta = 0.1$ mm. Therefore, a wave that has penetrated to a depth 4δ , that is, 0.4 mm, into water has dissipated 98% of its energy; we assume the same holds true for plant tissues. This means that a wave at 105 GHz penetrates only superficially into the growth medium, whilst it penetrates to the core of the hypocotyl in the case of a wave with an incidence normal to the surface into which it penetrates. The polystyrene of the culture box and the polypropylene of the meshes are transparent at this frequency.

To estimate the increase in temperature, ΔT , of the thin layer of growth medium within which the wave energy is dissipated, assumed to be a slab of water with planar faces maintained at constant temperature, we have used the standard equation $\Delta T = A_0 l^2 / (2\kappa)$ where A_0 is the power dissipated per unit volume (W/m^3), l the thickness (m) of the slab, and κ the thermal conductivity (W/mK) [Carslaw and Jaeger, 1971]. This relation may be rewritten $\Delta T = 2 \cdot PD \cdot \delta / \kappa$ where PD is the mean power density (W/m^2) existing in the sample and l is assumed to be equal to 4δ . The Gunn oscillator delivered a mean power density of a maximum of 10 W/m^2 at the level of the meshes, which gives a calculated value of $\Delta T = 0.013 \text{ K}$, i.e., a negligible temperature increase. To eliminate the possibility that the plants themselves were heated, a similar calculation can be performed by treating the seedlings as roughly cylindrical [Carslaw and Jaeger, 1971]. The maximum temperature increase is then given by the same formula as for the water slab, $\Delta T = 2 \cdot PD \cdot \delta / \kappa$, because we have chosen 4δ as the radius of the cylinder; 0.4 mm is indeed close to the radius of the hypocotyl. Therefore, the temperature increase is also negligible within the plants.

To confirm that this power level did not result in a significant local heating of plant tissue, four tests were conducted in a temperature-stable environment, that is, controlled to better than 0.1°C , on water droplets that were 2 mm in diameter. The droplet was supported on a thermistor temperature sensor housed in a thermally insulated box and was compared against a similar water droplet as a control sample housed in the same chamber, but not irradiated by the 105 GHz radiation. The test droplet was then irradiated with 105 GHz radiation for 30 min . It did not prove possible to deliver a higher power to the test drop, because the diameter (beam waist) of a focused beam of millimeter wave is typically a few mm diameter; this is the smallest area into which the radiation can be focused. Based on the measured beam waist size, and the geometrical area of the water drop, we estimate that $5 \pm 0.5 \text{ mW}$ of 105 GHz radiation were delivered to the water droplet at a power density of 400 W/m^2 . No rise in temperature above the detection limit of 0.1°C was observed either in the presence or absence of the water droplet; this result is consistent with the above calculations. It should be noted that this power density is much higher than the power density of irradiation delivered to the plants, and therefore this test supports the conclusion that, as expected, no significant temperature raise occurred during their irradiation.

These results show that flax seedlings respond to the combination of a 2 h irradiation at 105 GHz plus a 2 day calcium deprivation in the same way as they

respond to other combinations of a stimulus such as touch, cold shock, wind, or drought plus calcium deprivation, namely, by epidermal meristem production in the hypocotyls [Verdus et al., 1997; Tafforeau et al., 2002].

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

To study the long term storage of a variety of environmental signals, we have developed the system of epidermal meristem production in the hypocotyls of flax following a calcium deprivation step. This system is particularly effective for revealing the transduction of a wide range of low intensity stimuli by seedlings [Verdus et al., 1997]. These stimuli include physical stresses such as cold shock, drought, and mechanical stresses. Recently, we found that a 2 h exposure to radiation from a GSM telephone also induces epidermal meristem production in the flax system [Tafforeau et al., 2002].

Here, we have investigated what happens to flax seedlings in our system when they are exposed to radiation at a very different frequency emitted by a very different device. They respond to non-thermal levels of radiation at 105 GHz from a Gunn oscillator by a production of epidermal meristems in a way that is similar to their response to other physical stimuli. The downstream part of the signal transduction mechanism for a wide variety of stimuli and stresses is thus clearly the same, insofar as there is a common response of meristem production; indeed, the response to exposure to 105 GHz reveals the same calcium dependence and the same kinetics as the response to other physical stimuli including radiation from a GSM telephone. The question, however, might be raised as to whether the radiation from the telephone and the Gunn oscillator affect the same specific target. We cannot exclude the possibility that different, specific, biological processes are perturbed separately and that these perturbations generate a common stress response.

It should be noted that a stress protein is synthesized in a very different system that is based on exposure of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* to non-thermal levels of radiation at a frequency similar to that emitted by a GSM telephone [de Pomerai et al., 2000]. Our findings extend the sensitivity of biological systems to non-thermal levels of radiation to plants. It should be emphasized that whilst meristem production is in itself a significant biological consequence of irradiation at 105 GHz , this is not necessarily an adverse consequence. Other abiotic treatments such as touching also lead to flax seedlings producing meristems after calcium deprivation. These seedlings show no evidence of damage, and their growth rate, buds, and shoots are all normal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Derek Martin and James D. Murray for discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Adams J. 1924. Adventitious shoots on hypocotyl of flax and tomato. *Bot Gaz* 78:461–462.
- Braam J, Davis RM. 1990. Rain-, wind-, and touch-induced expression of calmodulin and calmodulin-related genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 60:357–364.
- Braam J, Sistrunk ML, Polisenky DH, Xu W, Purugganan MM, Antosiewicz DM, Campbell P, Johnson KA. 1996. Life in a changing world: *TCH* gene regulation of expression and responses to environmental signals. *Physiol Plant* 98:909–916.
- Carslaw S, Jaeger JC. 1971. Conduction of heat in solids. London: Oxford University Press.
- De Pomerai D, Daniells C, David H, Allan J, Duce I, Mutwakil M, Thomas D, Sewell P, Tattersall J, Jones D, Candido P. 2000. Non-thermal heat-shock response to microwaves. *Nature* 405:417–418.
- Jaffe MJ. 1985. Wind and other mechanical effects in the development and behavior of plants with special emphasis of the role of hormones. In: Pharos RP, Reid DM, editors. *Encyclopedia of plant physiology*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag. pp 444–484.
- Jonak C, Kiegerl S, Ligterink W, Barker PJ, Huskisson NS, Hirt H. 1996. Stress signaling in plants: A mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway is activated by cold and drought. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 93:11274–11279.
- Knight MR, Campbell AK, Smith SM, Trewavas AJ. 1991. Transgenic plant aequorin report the effect of touch and cold shock and fungal elicitors on cytosolic calcium. *Nature* 352:524–526.
- Knight MR, Smith SM, Trewavas AJ. 1992. Wind induced plant motion immediately increases cytosolic calcium. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 89:4967–4971.
- Knight H, Trewavas AJ, Knight MR. 1996. Cold calcium signalling in *Arabidopsis* involves two cellular pools and a change in calcium signature after acclimation. *Plant Cell* 8:489–503.
- Malhó R, Moutinho A, van der Luit A, Trewavas AJ. 1998. Spatial characteristics of calcium signalling: The calcium wave as a basic unit in plant cell calcium signalling. *Phil Trans Royal Soc London* 353B:1463–1473.
- Meskiene I, Hirt H. 2000. MAP kinase pathways: Molecular plug and play chips for the cell. *Plant Mol Biol* 42:791–806.
- Mithöfer A, Ebel J, Bhagwat AA, Boller T, Neuhaus-Url G. 1999. Transgenic aequorin monitors cytosolic calcium transients in soybean cells challenged with β -glucan or chitin elicitors. *Planta* 207:566–574.
- Mizoguchi T, Ichimura K, Shinozaki K. 1997. Environmental stress response in plants: The role of mitogen-activated protein kinases. *Trends Biotechnol* 15:15–19.
- Plieth C, Hansen UP, Knight H, Knight MR. 1998. Temperature sensing in plants: The primary characteristics of signal perception and calcium response. *Plant J* 18:491–497.
- Tafforeau M, Verdus MC, Norris V, White G, Demarty M, Thellier M, Ripoll C. 2002. SIMS study of the calcium-deprivation step related to epidermal meristem production induced in flax by cold shock or radiation from a GSM telephone. *J Trace Microprobe Techn* 20:611–623.
- Takahashi K, Isobe M, Knight MR, Trewavas AJ, Muto S. 1992. Hypoosmotic shock induces increases in cytosolic Ca^{2+} in tobacco suspension-cultured cells. *Plant Physiol* 113:587–594.
- Thellier M, Le Sceller L, Norris V, Verdus MC, Ripoll C. 2000. Long-distance transport, storage, and recall of morphogenetic information in plants. The existence of a sort of primitive plant memory. *CR Acad Sci Paris (Sciences de la Vie/Life Sciences)* 323:81–91.
- Verdus MC, Cabin-Flaman A, Ripoll C, Thellier M. 1996. Calcium-dependent storage/retrieval of environmental signals in plant development. *CR Acad Sci Paris (Sciences de la Vie/Life Sciences)* 319:779–782.
- Verdus MC, Thellier M, Ripoll C. 1997. Storage of environmental signals in flax. Their morphogenetic effect as enabled by a transient depletion of calcium. *Plant J* 12:1399–1410.



111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

ELECTRONIC PROOF CHECKLIST, BIOELECTROMAGNETICS

*****IMMEDIATE RESPONSE REQUIRED*****

Please follow these instructions to avoid delay of publication.

☐ **READ PROOFS CAREFULLY**

- This will be your only chance to review these proofs.
- Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only.

☐ **ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS** (Queries for you to answer are attached as the last page of your proof.)

- Mark all corrections directly on the proofs. Note that excessive author alterations may ultimately result in delay of publication and extra costs may be charged to you.

☐ **CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY** (Color figures will be sent under separate cover.)

- Check size, numbering, and orientation of figures.
- All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the printed article.
- Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete.
- Check all tables. Review layout, title, and footnotes.

☐ **COMPLETE REPRINT ORDER FORM**

- Fill out the attached reprint order form. It is important to return the form even if you are not ordering reprints. You may, if you wish, pay for the reprints with a credit card. Reprints will be mailed only after your article appears in print. This is the most opportune time to order reprints. If you wait until after your article comes off press, the reprints will be considerably more expensive.

RETURN

- ☐ **PROOFS**
☐ **REPRINT ORDER FORM**
☐ **CTA (If you have not already signed one)**

RETURN WITHIN 48 HOURS OF RECEIPT VIA FAX TO Patrick Snajder AT 201-748-6825

QUESTIONS?

Patrick Snajder, Production Editor
Phone: 201-748-8807
E-mail: psnajder@wiley.com
Refer to journal acronym and article production number
(i.e., BEM 00-001 for *BioElectroMagnetics* 00-001).

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT

Date:

To:

Production/Contribution

ID# _____

Publisher/Editorial office use only

Re: Manuscript entitled _____
_____ (the "Contribution")
for publication in _____ (the "Journal")
published by Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ("Wiley").

Dear Contributor(s):

Thank you for submitting your Contribution for publication. In order to expedite the publishing process and enable Wiley to disseminate your work to the fullest extent, we need to have this Copyright Transfer Agreement signed and returned to us as soon as possible. If the Contribution is not accepted for publication this Agreement shall be null and void.

A. COPYRIGHT

1. The Contributor assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright and any extensions or renewals of that term, all copyright in and to the Contribution, including but not limited to the right to publish, republish, transmit, sell, distribute and otherwise use the Contribution and the material contained therein in electronic and print editions of the Journal and in derivative works throughout the world, in all languages and in all media of expression now known or later developed, and to license or permit others to do so.
2. Reproduction, posting, transmission or other distribution or use of the Contribution or any material contained therein, in any medium as permitted hereunder, requires a citation to the Journal and an appropriate credit to Wiley as Publisher, suitable in form and content as follows: (Title of Article, Author, Journal Title and Volume/Issue Copyright © [year] Wiley-Liss, Inc. or copyright owner as specified in the Journal.)

B. RETAINED RIGHTS

Notwithstanding the above, the Contributor or, if applicable, the Contributor's Employer, retains all proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights, in any process, procedure or article of manufacture described in the Contribution, and the right to make oral presentations of material from the Contribution.

C. OTHER RIGHTS OF CONTRIBUTOR

Wiley grants back to the Contributor the following:

1. The right to share with colleagues print or electronic "preprints" of the unpublished Contribution, in form and content as accepted by Wiley for publication in the Journal. Such preprints may be posted as electronic files on the Contributor's own website for personal or professional use, or on the Contributor's internal university or corporate networks/intranet, or secure external website at the Contributor's institution, but not for commercial sale or for any systematic external distribution by a third party (e.g., a listserve or database connected to a public access server). Prior to publication, the Contributor must include the following notice on the preprint: "This is a preprint of an article accepted for publication in [Journal title] © copyright (year) (copyright owner as specified in the Journal)". After publication of the Contribution by Wiley, the preprint notice should be amended to read as follows: "This is a preprint of an article published in [include the complete citation information for the final version of the Contribution as published in the print edition of the Journal]", and should provide an electronic link to the Journal's WWW site, located at the following Wiley URL: <http://www.interscience.Wiley.com/>. The Contributor agrees not to update the preprint or replace it with the published version of the Contribution.
2. The right, without charge, to photocopy or to transmit online or to download, print out and distribute to a colleague a copy of the published Contribution in whole or in part, for the Contributor's personal or professional use, for the

advancement of scholarly or scientific research or study, or for corporate informational purposes in accordance with Paragraph D.2 below.

3. The right to republish, without charge, in print format, all or part of the material from the published Contribution in a book written or edited by the Contributor.
4. The right to use selected figures and tables, and selected text (up to 250 words, exclusive of the abstract) from the Contribution, for the Contributor's own teaching purposes, or for incorporation within another work by the Contributor that is made part of an edited work published (in print or electronic format) by a third party, or for presentation in electronic format on an internal computer network or external website of the Contributor or the Contributor's employer.
5. The right to include the Contribution in a compilation for classroom use (course packs) to be distributed to students at the Contributor's institution free of charge or to be stored in electronic format in datarooms for access by students at the Contributor's institution as part of their course work (sometimes called "electronic reserve rooms") and for in-house training programs at the Contributor's employer.

D. CONTRIBUTIONS OWNED BY EMPLOYER

1. If the Contribution was written by the Contributor in the course of the Contributor's employment (as a "work-made-for-hire" in the course of employment), the Contribution is owned by the company/employer which must sign this Agreement (in addition to the Contributor's signature), in the space provided below. In such case, the company/employer hereby assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright, all copyright in and to the Contribution for the full term of copyright throughout the world as specified in paragraph A above.
2. In addition to the rights specified as retained in paragraph B above and the rights granted back to the Contributor pursuant to paragraph C above, Wiley hereby grants back, without charge, to such company/employer, its subsidiaries and divisions, the right to make copies of and distribute the published Contribution internally in print format or electronically on the Company's internal network. Upon payment of the Publisher's reprint fee, the institution may distribute (but not resell) print copies of the published Contribution externally. Although copies so made shall not be available for individual re-sale, they may be included by the company/employer as part of an information package included with software or other products offered for sale or license. Posting of the published Contribution by the institution on a public access website may only be done with Wiley's written permission, and payment of any applicable fee(s).

E. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

In the case of a Contribution prepared under U.S. Government contract or grant, the U.S. Government may reproduce, without charge, all or portions of the Contribution and may authorize others to do so, for official U.S. Government purposes only, if the U.S. Government contract or grant so requires. (U.S. Government Employees: see note at end).

F. COPYRIGHT NOTICE

The Contributor and the company/employer agree that any and all copies of the Contribution or any part thereof distributed or posted by them in print or electronic format as permitted herein will include the notice of copyright as stipulated in the Journal and a full citation to the Journal as published by Wiley.

G. CONTRIBUTOR'S REPRESENTATIONS

The Contributor represents that the Contribution is the Contributor's original work. If the Contribution was prepared jointly, the Contributor agrees to inform the co-Contributors of the terms of this Agreement and to obtain their signature to this Agreement or their written permission to sign on their behalf. The Contribution is submitted only to this Journal and has not been published before, except for "preprints" as permitted above. (If excerpts from copyrighted works owned by third parties are included, the Contributor will obtain written permission from the copyright owners for all uses as set forth in Wiley's permissions form or in the Journal's Instructions for Contributors, and show credit to the sources in the Contribution.) The Contributor also warrants that the Contribution contains no libelous or unlawful statements, does not infringe on the rights or privacy of others, or contain material or instructions that might cause harm or injury.

CHECK ONE:

☐ Contributor-owned work

Contributor's signature

Date

Type or print name and title

Co-contributor's signature

Date

Type or print name and title

ATTACHED ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE PAGE AS NECESSARY

☐ Company/Institution-owned work
(made-for-hire in the
course of employment)

Company or Institution (Employer-for-Hire)

Date

Authorized signature of Employer

Date

☐ U.S. Government work

Note to U.S. Government Employees

A Contribution prepared by a U.S. federal government employee as part of the employee's official duties, or which is an official U.S. Government publication is called a "U.S. Government work," and is in the public domain in the United States. In such case, the employee may cross out Paragraph A.1 but must sign and return this Agreement. If the Contribution was not prepared as part of the employee's duties or is not an official U.S. Government publication, it is not a U.S. Government work.

☐ U.K. Government work (Crown Copyright)

Note to U.K. Government Employees

The rights in a Contribution prepared by an employee of a U.K. government department, agency or other Crown body as part of his/her official duties, or which is an official government publication, belong to the Crown. In such case, the Publisher will forward the relevant form to the Employee for signature.



BIOELECTROMAGNETICS
JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC.
111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

Telephone Number: **201.748.8807** • Facsimile Number: **201.748.6825**

To: Mr. Patrick Snajder

Company: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: 201-748-6825

From: _____

Date: _____

Pages including this
cover page: _____

Message: _____

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Publishers Since 1807

REPRINT BILLING DEPARTMENT - 111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

PHONE: (201) 748-8807; FAX: (201) 748-6825

E-MAIL: reprints@wiley.com

PREPUBLICATION REPRINT ORDER FORM

Please complete and return this form even if you do not wish to order any reprints together with the second page of the page charge form. Fill either the top or bottom section, whichever is applicable.

BIOELECTROMAGNETIC VOLUME _____ ISSUE _____ ARTICLE NO. _____ NO. OF PAGES _____
 AUTHOR(S) _____ ARTICLE TITLE _____

☐ My institution does pay page charges. Please supply me with 100 reprints at no charge plus _____ additional reprints of the above article for \$_____ plus shipping and handling charges. (Tax Exempt #: _____)

No. of Pages	100 Reprints	200 Reprints	300 Reprints	400 Reprints	500 Reprints
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
1-4	117	168	219	270	321
5-8	156	241	326	411	496
9-12	195	314	433	552	671
13-16	234	387	540	693	846
17-20	273	460	647	834	1,021
21-24	311	532	753	974	1,195
25-28	349	603	857	1,111	1,365
29-32	387	674	961	1,248	1,535
33-36	425	745	1,065	1,385	1,705
37-40	463	816	1,169	1,522	1,875

(Shipping charges and applicable sales taxes are additional)

☐ My institution does not pay page charges. Please supply me with _____ reprints of the above article for \$_____ plus shipping and handling charges. (Tax Exempt #: _____)

No. of Pages	100 Reprints	200 Reprints	300 Reprints	400 Reprints	500 Reprints
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
1-4	203	376	522	668	790
5-8	287	528	741	940	1,110
9-12	357	660	927	1,176	1,390
13-16	429	792	1,116	1,416	1,670
17-20	477	884	1,239	1,572	1,865
21-24	547	1,010	1,419	1,800	2,125
25-28	604	1,118	1,572	1,992	2,355
29-32	665	1,230	1,725	2,188	2,590
33-36	731	1,350	1,896	2,408	2,850
37-40	797	1,474	2,073	2,628	2,995

(Shipping charges and applicable sales taxes are additional)

Reprints are available only in lots of 100. IF YOU WISH TO ORDER MORE THAN 500 REPRINTS, PLEASE CONTACT OUR REPRINTS DEPARTMENT AT (201) 748-8807 FOR A PRICE QUOTE.

BILL TO: Name _____ _____ Institution _____ _____ Address _____ _____ _____ Purchase Order No. _____	SHIP TO: Name _____ _____ Institution _____ _____ Address _____ _____ _____ Phone _____ Fax _____ If "BILL TO" is an institution, order form must be accompanied or followed by a purchase order made out to the Publisher, Wiley-Liss, Inc.
---	--

PLEASE NOTE: This form is sent to only one author of each article. If your co-authors will want reprints, be sure to order them on this form together with yours. Please complete and return this form within 48 hours of receipt. Reprints ordered after printing of an issue are more expensive.

BioElectroMagnetics Society

2412 Cobblestone Way
Frederick, MD 21702

PAGE CHARGE FORM

Bioelectromagnetics, Volume _____, Issue _____

Authors: _____

Article number: _____

The Bioelectromagnetics Society request that the author's institution pay a part of the cost of publication in the form of a page charge of \$80 per page. This charge entitles the institution to 100 free reprints. There will be no discrimination against papers for which page charges are not paid. Additional reprints may be ordered directly from the publisher on the enclosed form.

Number of pages: _____ @ \$80 per page = \$_____.

My institution will pay page charges: YES _____; NO _____.

If YES, enclosed is a check _____; purchase order _____.

Ship 100 reprints to:

Name: _____

Address: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Please fill in and return one copy along with a check or purchase order to the Bioelectromagnetics Society at the above address. Return another copy to the publisher, Wiley-Liss, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA, together with your order for additional reprints and proofs.

Softproofing for advanced Adobe Acrobat Users - NOTES tool

NOTE: ADOBE READER FROM THE INTERNET DOES NOT CONTAIN THE NOTES TOOL USED IN THIS PROCEDURE.

Acrobat annotation tools can be very useful for indicating changes to the PDF proof of your article. By using Acrobat annotation tools, a full digital pathway can be maintained for your page proofs.

The NOTES annotation tool can be used with either Adobe Acrobat 3.0x or Adobe Acrobat 4.0. Other annotation tools are also available in Acrobat 4.0, but this instruction sheet will concentrate on how to use the NOTES tool. Acrobat Reader, the free Internet download software from Adobe, DOES NOT contain the NOTES tool. In order to softproof using the NOTES tool you must have the full software suite Adobe Acrobat Exchange 3.0x or Adobe Acrobat 4.0 installed on your computer.

Steps for Softproofing using Adobe Acrobat NOTES tool:

1. Open the PDF page proof of your article using either Adobe Acrobat Exchange 3.0x or Adobe Acrobat 4.0. Proof your article on-screen or print a copy for markup of changes.
2. Go to File/Preferences/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or File/Preferences/Notes (in Acrobat 3.0) and enter your name into the "default user" or "author" field. Also, set the font size at 9 or 10 point.
3. When you have decided on the corrections to your article, select the NOTES tool from the Acrobat toolbox and click in the margin next to the text to be changed.
4. Enter your corrections into the NOTES text box window. Be sure to clearly indicate where the correction is to be placed and what text it will effect. If necessary to avoid confusion, you can use your TEXT SELECTION tool to copy the text to be corrected and paste it into the NOTES text box window. At this point, you can type the corrections directly into the NOTES text box window. **DO NOT correct the text by typing directly on the PDF page.**
5. Go through your entire article using the NOTES tool as described in Step 4.
6. When you have completed the corrections to your article, go to File/Export/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or File/Export/Notes (in Acrobat 3.0). Save your NOTES file to a place on your harddrive where you can easily locate it. **Name your NOTES file with the article number assigned to your article in the original softproofing e-mail message.**
7. When closing your article PDF be sure NOT to save changes to original file.
8. To make changes to a NOTES file you have exported, simply re-open the original PDF proof file, go to File/Import/Notes and import the NOTES file you saved. Make changes and re-export NOTES file keeping the same file name.
9. When complete, attach your NOTES file to a reply e-mail message. Be sure to include your name, the date, and the title of the journal your article will be printed in.